IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.62298-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:28.11.2006

Ram Sarup @ Ram Roop & Anr. .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Shri N.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the complainant.

****

The Petitioners apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 110 dated 23.5.2006 under Sections 498-A/406 IPC, registered at Police Station Malerkotla, District Sangrur, have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, who are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant, have been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He further contends that the husband of the complainant has already been arrested and released on regular bail and the all the house hold articles, which were in the possession of the petitioners have already been recovered.

Counsel for the petitioners further contends that in view of the order dated 16.10.2006, the petitioners has joined the investigation. This fact has not been disputed by the State Counsel.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 16.10.2006 is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 28, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**